

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/632,856	08/04/2000	David F. Sorrells		1744.0630003	2377
·. 75	7590 03/30/2004			g EXAM	INER
Sterne Kessler Goldstein & Fox P L L C			* '	KIM, KEVIN	
Suite 600 1100 New York Avenue N W Washington, DC 20005-3934				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,, ,,,,,,, ,,,			•	2634	
				DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		p				
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	09/632,856	SORRELLS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin Y Kim	2634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of this will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	August 2000.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41-77</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 73-76 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>77</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41 and 46</u> is/are rejected.						
	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>42-45,47-72</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	3) 5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6)					
	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 11				

Application/Control Number: 09/632,856

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sanielevici et al (US 6,018,553).

Referring to Fig.2, Sanielevici et al discloses a balanced receiver, comprising

"a first frequency down-conversion module" (201) for down-converting an input signal according to a first control signal (5KHz, 0DEG),

"a second frequency down-conversion module" (204) for down-converting the input signal according to a second control signal (5KHz, -90 DEG) and

"a subtractor module" (213) that subtracts the down-converted signal of the "second frequency down-conversion module" (204) from the down-converted signal of the "first frequency down-conversion module" (201).

Application/Control Number: 09/632,856

Art Unit: 2634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sanielevici et al (US 6,018,553) in view of Nash (US 6,317,589).

Sanielevici et al disclose all the subject matter claimed except for the subtractor being a differential amplifier. Nash teaches that a subtractor is typically a differential amplifier. Col.4, lines 45-46. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the function unit of the subtractor (213) with a differential amplifier as taught by Nash.

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/632,856

Art Unit: 2634

7. Claims 42-45, 47-72 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

8. Claim 77 is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kevin Y Kim whose telephone number is 703-305-4082. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8AM -- 5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 703-305-4714. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

kvk

STEPHEN CHIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600